

**MINUTES
COUNCIL**

Wednesday 6 March 2024

Councillor Julie Najuk (Mayor)

Present:

Councillor Michael Adams	Councillor Alison Hunt
Councillor Roy Allan	Councillor Ron McCrossen
Councillor Sandra Barnes	Councillor Viv McCrossen
Councillor Stuart Bestwick	Councillor Marje Paling
Councillor David Brocklebank	Councillor Michael Payne
Councillor Lorraine Brown	Councillor Lynda Pearson
Councillor John Clarke	Councillor Sue Pickering
Councillor Jim Creamer	Councillor Catherine Pope
Councillor Andrew Dunkin	Councillor Grahame Pope
Councillor Boyd Elliott	Councillor Kyle Robinson-Payne
Councillor David Ellis	Councillor Alex Scroggie
Councillor Rachael Ellis	Councillor Martin Smith
Councillor Roxanne Ellis	Councillor Sam Smith
Councillor Andrew Ellwood	Councillor Ruth Strong
Councillor Paul Feeney	Councillor Clive Towsey-Hinton
Councillor Kathryn Fox	Councillor Jane Walker
Councillor Des Gibbons	Councillor Michelle Welsh
Councillor Helen Greensmith	Councillor Henry Wheeler
Councillor Jenny Hollingsworth	Councillor Russell Whiting
Councillor Paul Hughes	Councillor Paul Wilkinson

Absent:

84 THOUGHT FOR THE DAY

The Mayor's Chaplain was unable to attend the meeting so no thought for the day was given.

85 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

No apologies were received.

86 DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

None.

87 TO CONSIDER, AND IF APPROVED, ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF CABINET DATED 21 FEBRUARY 2024 AND SET THE COUNCIL TAX FOR THE YEAR ENDING 31 MARCH 2025

A proposition was moved by Councillor Clarke and seconded by Councillor Payne in the following terms:

1. In accordance with the recommendations of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the Prudential and Treasury Indicators and Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) 2024/25, which includes the Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement, the Borrowing Strategy, and the Annual Investment Strategy, set out at pages 5 to 49 of the Council agenda, be approved.
2. In accordance with the recommendations of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the Capital Programme and Capital Investment Strategy 2024/25 to 2028/29 set out at pages 51 to 79 of the Council agenda, be approved.
3. In accordance with the recommendation of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the financial threshold above which decisions will be regarded as Key Decisions be set at £0.5 million for 2024/25, and that the detailed budget for 2024/25 included at pages 111 to 192 of the Council agenda be approved.
4. That the sum of £16,200 be taken to General Fund Balances in 2024/25 to support future General Fund revenue expenditure.
5. That it be noted that the Portfolio holder for Corporate Resources and Performance and Deputy Leader of the Council determined on 12 December 2023 (D1473), the following amounts for the year 2024/25 in accordance with regulations made under section 31(B) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.
 - (a) 38,962.89 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2012, as its council tax base for the year. **(The Council Tax base for the whole district)**

(b)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Tax Base</u>
Bestwood Village	702.73
Burton Joyce	1,538.33
Calverton	2,489.52
Colwick	892.59
Lambley	506.65
Linby	389.44
Newstead	374.94
Papplewick	270.14
Ravenshead	2,769.07
St Albans	949.14
Stoke Bardolph	390.16
Woodborough	934.47

being the amounts calculated by the Council, in accordance with regulation 6 of the Regulations, as the amounts of its council tax base for the year for dwellings in/ those parts of its area to which

one or more special items relate. **(The Council Tax base for each parish)**

6. That the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2024/25 in accordance with sections 31A, 31B and 34 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (the Act):

- (a) £45,578,640 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in section 31A(2) of the Act. **(Effectively gross expenditure including parish precepts, and transfers to reserves)**
- (b) £37,299,400 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in section 31A(3) of the Act. **(Effectively gross income and transfers from reserves)**
- (c) £8,279,240 being the amount by which the aggregate at 6(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 6(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 31A(4) of the Act, as its Council Tax Requirement for the year. **(Expenditure less income)**
- (d) £212.49 being the amount at 6(c) above, divided by the amount at 5(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year. **(The overall average amount of Council Tax per Band D property, including parish precepts)**
- (e) £920,840 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in section 34(1) of the Act. **(Total of parish precepts)**. For information, the amount in respect of each parish is as follows:

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Parish Precept</u>
Bestwood Village	20,000
Burton Joyce	228,518
Calverton	275,839
Colwick	29,500
Lambley	18,749
Linby	26,441
Newstead	22,710
Papplewick	22,287
Ravenshead	163,000
St Albans	28,834
Stoke Bardolph	2,500
Woodborough	82,462

- (f) £188.86 being the amount at 6(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 6(e) above by the amount at 5(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates. **(Gedling's own element of the Council Tax for a Band D dwelling)**.

(g)

Part of the Council's Area

£

Bestwood Village	217.32
Burton Joyce	337.41
Calverton	299.66
Colwick	221.91
Lambley	225.87
Linby	256.75
Newstead	249.43
Papplewick	271.36
Ravenshead	247.72
St Albans	219.24
Stoke Bardolph	195.27
Woodborough	277.10

being the amounts given by adding the amount at 6(f) above to the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned above, divided in each case by the amount at 5(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate. **(The combined district and parish amount of Council Tax for a Band D dwelling)**

(h)

Part of the Council's Area

Valuation Bands

	A	B	C	Valuation Bands		F	G
	£	£	£	D	E	£	£
Bestwood Village	144.88	169.03	193.18	217.32	265.61	313.91	362.20
Burton Joyce	224.94	262.43	299.92	337.41	412.39	487.37	562.35
Calverton	199.78	233.07	266.37	299.66	366.25	432.84	499.44
Colwick	147.94	172.60	197.26	221.91	271.22	320.54	369.85
Lambley	150.58	175.68	200.78	225.87	276.06	326.26	376.45
Linby	171.17	199.69	228.23	256.75	313.81	370.86	427.92
Newstead	166.29	194.00	221.72	249.43	304.86	360.29	415.72
Papplewick	180.91	211.06	241.21	271.36	331.66	391.97	452.27
Ravenshead	165.15	192.67	220.20	247.72	302.77	357.82	412.87
St Albans	146.16	170.52	194.88	219.24	267.96	316.68	365.40
Stoke Bardolph	130.18	151.88	173.58	195.27	238.66	282.06	325.45
Woodborough	184.74	215.52	246.32	277.10	338.68	400.26	461.84
All other parts of the Council's area	125.91	146.89	167.88	188.86	230.83	272.80	314.77

being the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at 6(f) and 6(g) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation

bands. (The combined district and parish amount of Council Tax for each band of dwelling).

7. That it be noted that for the year 2024/25, Nottinghamshire County Council, the Office of the Nottinghamshire Police & Crime Commissioner and the Combined Fire & Rescue Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Precepting Authority:	A £	B £	C £	D £	E £	F £	G £	H £
Notts County Council	1,204.72	1,405.51	1,606.29	1,807.08	2,208.65	2,610.23	3,011.80	3,614.16
Notts Police & Crime Commissioner	188.10	219.45	250.80	282.15	344.85	407.55	470.25	564.30
Combined Fire & Rescue Authority	61.47	71.72	81.96	92.21	112.70	133.19	153.68	184.42

8. That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 6(h) and 7 above, the Council, in accordance with section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts of council tax for the year 2024/25 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below: (The total amount of Council Tax for each band of dwelling)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Valuation Bands</u>							
	A £	B £	C £	D £	E £	F £	G £	H £
Bestwood Village	1,599.17	1,865.71	2,132.23	2,398.76	2,931.81	3,464.88	3,997.93	4,797.52
Burton Joyce	1,679.23	1,959.11	2,238.97	2,518.85	3,078.59	3,638.34	4,198.08	5,037.70
Calverton	1,654.07	1,929.75	2,205.42	2,481.10	3,032.45	3,583.81	4,135.17	4,962.20
Colwick	1,602.23	1,869.28	2,136.31	2,403.35	2,937.42	3,471.51	4,005.58	4,806.70
Lambley	1,604.87	1,872.36	2,139.83	2,407.31	2,942.26	3,477.23	4,012.18	4,814.62
Linby	1,625.46	1,896.37	2,167.28	2,438.19	2,980.01	3,521.83	4,063.65	4,876.38
Newstead	1,620.58	1,890.68	2,160.77	2,430.87	2,971.06	3,511.26	4,051.45	4,861.74
Papplewick	1,635.20	1,907.74	2,180.26	2,452.80	2,997.86	3,542.94	4,088.00	4,905.60
Ravenshead	1,619.44	1,889.35	2,159.25	2,429.16	2,968.97	3,508.79	4,048.60	4,858.32
St Albans	1,600.45	1,867.20	2,133.93	2,400.68	2,934.16	3,467.65	4,001.13	4,801.36
Stoke Bardolph	1,584.47	1,848.56	2,112.63	2,376.71	2,904.86	3,433.03	3,961.18	4,753.42
Woodborough	1,639.03	1,912.20	2,185.37	2,458.54	3,004.88	3,551.23	4,097.57	4,917.08
All other parts of the Council's area	1,580.20	1,843.57	2,106.93	2,370.30	2,897.03	3,423.77	3,950.50	4,740.60

An amendment was moved by Councillor Adams and seconded by Councillor Elliott on the following terms:

That the Council has the following objections and proposals to the estimates submitted by Cabinet, and it requires the Cabinet to reconsider those estimates in the light of these objections and proposals, and report back to Council within five working days after the day of this meeting.

Revenue Budget

1. Remove £110,000 from the Civic Centre Window Replacement in the Capital Programme, leaving £90,000 for windows which need to be repaired immediately. This would result in a revenue saving equivalent to the costs of borrowing of £10,500 per year. (£10,500)
 2. Revert to Diesel rather than the significantly more expensive HVO as a fuel source for the Fleet Vehicles providing savings. (£130,000)
 3. Deletion of two portfolios and resulting Cabinet Members resulting in savings in members allowances. (£16,600)
 4. Cease printing of Contacts magazine with online distribution only. (£45,000)
- n.b. financing of window replacement in the current capital programme and purchase of new fleet vehicles would be funded by Prudential Borrowing.
- 5 Purchase two new Street Sweepers at a cost of £95,000 each, this would result in an increase in the revenue budget equivalent to the cost of borrowing of £15,000 for each sweeper. £30,000
 - 6 The addition of two new drivers. £76,000
 - 7 Creation of a Community Orchard Grant Scheme, for community groups to access, for the planting and maintenance of orchards across the Borough. £7,000
 - 8 Reduce the Proposed Council Tax increase by 1% to 1.98% £71,500
 - 9 Provision of additional efficiency savings £17,600

Note:

The Local Government Act 2003 requires that the Council's Chief Financial Officer, in the case of Gedling that is the Head of Finance & ICT and S151 Officer, provide advice to the Council on the adequacy of financial reserves, and the robustness of the estimates. These comments can be found below: *THE ROBUSTNESS OF THE ESTIMATES AND THE ADEQUACY OF THE GENERAL FUND BALANCES*

The annual budget and the medium-term plan are based on a range of assumptions, detailed to Cabinet on 21 February, and these have enabled estimates of current and future spending to be modelled. The Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is presented against the backdrop of major and unprecedented uncertainty, primarily due to the continuing deferral of local government finance reforms inflationary increases, significant increase in demand for services, post-Brexit supply and labour shortages. The Council has an established process for assessing the financial risk factors inherent in any business activity, and the financial risk register recognises the increasing risks to financial sustainability in the medium term arising from the increased costs and uncertain funding levels.

The Council has a strong track record of meeting demands within the approved budget, together with a good reputation for the robustness of its financial planning, which will continue, however, it is important to note the

structural deficit that remains within the MTFP which will require the development of further plans for budget efficiencies/savings and it should be expected that there will need to be some contraction of service delivery/performance if efficiency plans do not proceed in line with expectations.

The annual budget for 2024/25 and the subsequent years of the MTFP leave the Council with a level of balances broadly in line with the minimum required from 2026/27 onwards. Whilst existing management approaches should enable the Council to deliver the efficiency required in the medium to long term, further changes to the way that services are delivered will be required if the Council is to fully achieve its ambitions and achieve a sustainable financial position.

The Council will continue to implement the approved efficiency plans and to develop new budget reduction proposals in order to balance the MTFP which, if implemented, will mean that the financial outlook remains fair, and that the 2024/25 estimates, and beyond, are robust. Members are reminded that risk levels in the MTFP are increasing as a result of ongoing uncertainties surrounding the local government finance settlement and in the absence of funding increases, from 2026/27 additional budget reductions will be required and whilst some have been identified they are not currently backed by an agreed action plan. Despite this, risks are currently still at acceptable levels as there is a sufficient lead in time for developing detailed plans in the coming year.

An assessment of reserves and balances has been carried out and I am satisfied that the financial position as presented is robust, and that reserves and balances are adequate in the short to medium term. However, pressures on both capital and revenue budgets are substantial and the MTFP shows continued reliance on the use of General Fund balances to support expenditure levels, as reported to Cabinet on 21 February 2024.

The Council has in the past successfully managed such pressures, and therefore I consider that, given the information available at the time, the level of general reserves are adequate for the purpose of setting the 2024/25 Council Tax.

Councillor Wilkinson, seconded by Councillor David Ellis, proposed a motion to briefly adjourn the meeting to allow members to consider the amendment put before them.

The motion was supported, and the Mayor declared it carried.

The meeting adjourned at 6:33pm.

The meeting resumed at 6:45pm.

Following debate, in accordance with standing orders the amendment was put to a named vote.

The Mayor declared the amendment not carried.

An amendment was moved by Councillor Ellwood and seconded by Councillor Towsey-Hinton on the following terms:

That the Council has the following objections and proposals to the estimates submitted by Cabinet, and it requires the Cabinet to reconsider those estimates in the light of these objections and proposals, and report back to Council within five working days after the day of this meeting.

General Fund Revenue Budget

- | | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1 | To expand the eligibility for one free bulky waste collection per annum from the existing scheme, which is available to all residents, over the age of 65, to include one free collection per household with an adult aged 18 to 64 with a physical or mental disability as defined under the Equality Act 2010, subject to the availability of collection slots. | £25,000 |
| 2 | Discontinue the Borough-wide printing and distribution of the hard copy version of the Contacts Magazine (transfer to digital distribution) – continue to print and distribute c. 2,000 copies of the magazine to sheltered accommodation or posted on request. | -£25,000 |

Note:

The Local Government Act 2003 requires that the Council's Chief Financial Officer, in the case of Gedling that is the Head of finance & ICT and s151 Officer, provide advice to the Council on the adequacy of financial reserves, and the robustness of the estimates. These comments can be found below:

THE ROBUSTNESS OF THE ESTIMATES AND THE ADEQUACY OF THE GENERAL FUND BALANCES

The annual budget and the medium-term plan are based on a range of assumptions, detailed to Cabinet on 21 February, and these have enabled estimates of current and future spending to be modelled. The Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is presented against the backdrop of major and unprecedented uncertainty, primarily due to the continuing deferral of local government finance reforms inflationary increases, significant increase in demand for services, post-Brexit supply and labour shortages. The Council has an established process for assessing the financial risk factors inherent in any business activity, and the financial risk register recognises the increasing risks to financial sustainability in the medium term arising from the increased costs and uncertain funding levels.

The Council has a strong track record of meeting demands within the approved budget, together with a good reputation for the robustness of its financial planning, which will continue, however, it is important to note the structural deficit that remains within the MTFP which will require the development of further plans for budget efficiencies/savings and it should be expected that there will need to be some contraction of service delivery/performance if efficiency plans do not proceed in line with expectations.

The annual budget for 2024/25 and the subsequent years of the MTFP leave the Council with a level of balances broadly in line with the minimum required from 2026/27 onwards. Whilst existing management approaches should enable the Council to deliver the efficiency required in the medium to long term, further changes to the way that services are delivered will be required if the Council is to fully achieve its ambitions and achieve a sustainable financial position.

The Council will continue to implement the approved efficiency plans and to develop new budget reduction proposals in order to balance the MTFP which, if implemented, will mean that the financial outlook remains fair, and that the 2024/25 estimates, and beyond, are robust. Members are reminded that risk levels in the MTFP are increasing as a result of ongoing uncertainties surrounding the local government finance settlement and in the absence of funding increases, from 2026/27 additional budget reductions will be required and whilst some have been identified they are not currently backed by an agreed action plan. Despite this risks are currently still at acceptable levels as there is a sufficient lead in time for developing detailed plans in the coming year.

An assessment of reserves and balances has been carried out and I am satisfied that the financial position as presented is robust, and that reserves and balances are adequate in the short to medium term. However, pressures on both capital and revenue budgets are substantial and the MTFP shows continued reliance on the use of General Fund balances to support expenditure levels, as reported to Cabinet on 21 February 2024.

The Council has in the past successfully managed such pressures, and therefore I consider that, given the information available at the time, the level of general reserves are adequate for the purpose of setting the 2024/25 Council Tax.

Councillor Sam Smith, seconded by Councillor Elliott, proposed a motion to briefly adjourn the meeting to allow members to consider the amendment put before them.

The motion was supported, and the Mayor declared it carried.

The meeting adjourned 7:43pm.

The meeting resumed at 7:52pm.

Following debate, in accordance with standing orders the amendment was put to a named vote.

The Mayor declared the amendment not carried.

An amendment was moved by Councillor Whiting and seconded by Councillor Gibbons on the following terms:

That the Council has the following objections and proposals to the estimates submitted by Cabinet, and it requires the Cabinet to reconsider those estimates in the light of these objections and proposals, and report back to Council within five working days after the day of this meeting.

Revenue Impact of Borrowing on the Capital Programme

- | | | |
|---|---|----------|
| 1 | Resurface the car park at the Colwick Rectory Road Recreation Ground at a cost of c£80,000, this would create a revenue cost of borrowing of £9,500 per year. | £9,500 |
| 2 | To reduce the Capital Project for Civic Centre Window Replacement by £80,000 (currently £200,000 this would retain an amount sufficient to repair broken windows that are identified and in immediate need of replacement), this would create a revenue savings equal to the costs of borrowing of £9,500 per year. | (£9,500) |

Note:

The Local Government Act 2003 requires that the Council's Chief Financial Officer, in the case of Gedling that is the Head of Finance & ICT and S151 Officer, provide advice to the Council on the adequacy of financial reserves, and the robustness of the estimates. These comments can be found below:

THE ROBUSTNESS OF THE ESTIMATES AND THE ADEQUACY OF THE GENERAL FUND BALANCES

The annual budget and the medium-term plan are based on a range of assumptions, detailed to Cabinet on 21 February, and these have enabled estimates of current and future spending to be modelled. The Medium-Term Financial Plan (MTFP) is presented against the backdrop of major and unprecedented uncertainty, primarily due to the continuing deferral of local government finance reforms inflationary increases, significant increase in demand for services, post-Brexit supply and labour shortages. The Council has an established process for assessing the financial risk factors inherent in any business activity, and the financial risk register recognises the increasing risks to financial sustainability in the medium term arising from the increased costs and uncertain funding levels.

The Council has a strong track record of meeting demands within the approved budget, together with a good reputation for the robustness of its financial planning, which will continue, however, it is important to note the structural deficit that remains within the MTFP which will require the development of further plans for budget efficiencies/savings and it should be expected that there will need to be some contraction of service delivery/performance if efficiency plans do not proceed in line with expectations.

The annual budget for 2024/25 and the subsequent years of the MTFP leave the Council with a level of balances broadly in line with the minimum required from 2026/27 onwards. Whilst existing management approaches should enable the Council to deliver the efficiency required in the medium to long term, further changes to the way that services are delivered will be required if the Council is to fully achieve its ambitions and achieve a sustainable financial position.

The Council will continue to implement the approved efficiency plans and to develop new budget reduction proposals in order to balance the MTFP which, if implemented, will mean that the financial outlook remains fair, and that the 2024/25 estimates, and beyond, are robust. Members are reminded that risk levels in the MTFP are increasing as a result of ongoing uncertainties surrounding the local government finance settlement and in the absence of funding increases, from 2026/27 additional budget reductions will be required and whilst some have been identified they are not currently backed by an agreed action plan. Despite this, risks are currently still at acceptable levels as there is a sufficient lead in time for developing detailed plans in the coming year.

An assessment of reserves and balances has been carried out and I am satisfied that the financial position as presented is robust, and that reserves and balances are adequate in the short to medium term. However, pressures on both capital and revenue budgets are substantial and the MTFP shows continued reliance on the use of General Fund balances to support expenditure levels, as reported to Cabinet on 21 February 2024.

The Council has in the past successfully managed such pressures, and therefore I consider that, given the information available at the time, the level of general reserves are adequate for the purpose of setting the 2024/25 Council Tax.

Councillor Elliott, seconded by Councillor Sam Smith, proposed a motion to briefly adjourn the meeting to allow members to consider the amendment put before them.

The motion was not supported, so the debate continued.

Following debate, in accordance with standing orders the amendment was put to a named vote.

The Mayor declared the amendment not carried.

Upon the Mayor putting the original proposition of the meeting, and in accordance with standing orders, the original proposition was put to a named vote.

The Mayor declared the motion carried.

RESOLVED that:

1. In accordance with the recommendations of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the Prudential and Treasury Indicators and Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) 2024/25, which includes the Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement, the Borrowing Strategy, and the Annual Investment Strategy, set out at pages 5 to 49 of the Council agenda, be approved.
2. In accordance with the recommendations of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the Capital Programme and Capital Investment Strategy 2024/25 to 2028/29 set out at pages 51 to 79 of the Council agenda, be approved.
3. In accordance with the recommendation of Cabinet on 21 February 2024, that the financial threshold above which decisions will be regarded as Key Decisions be set at £0.5 million for 2024/25, and that the detailed budget for 2024/25 included at pages 111 to 192 of the Council agenda be approved.
4. That the sum of £16,200 be taken to General Fund Balances in 2024/25 to support future General Fund revenue expenditure.
5. That it be noted that the Portfolio holder for Corporate Resources and Performance and Deputy Leader of the Council determined on 12 December 2023 (D1473), the following amounts for the year 2024/25 in accordance with regulations made under section 31(B) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.
 - (a) 38,962.89 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) (England) Regulations 2012, as its council tax base for the year. **(The Council Tax base for the whole district)**

(b)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Tax Base</u>
Bestwood Village	702.73
Burton Joyce	1,538.33
Calverton	2,489.52
Colwick	892.59
Lambley	506.65
Linby	389.44

Newstead	374.94
Papplewick	270.14
Ravenshead	2,769.07
St Albans	949.14
Stoke Bardolph	390.16
Woodborough	934.47

being the amounts calculated by the Council, in accordance with regulation 6 of the Regulations, as the amounts of its council tax base for the year for dwellings in/ those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate. **(The Council Tax base for each parish)**

6. That the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2024/25 in accordance with sections 31A, 31B and 34 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (the Act):

- (a) £45,578,640 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in section 31A(2) of the Act. **(Effectively gross expenditure including parish precepts, and transfers to reserves)**
- (b) £37,299,400 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in section 31A(3) of the Act. **(Effectively gross income and transfers from reserves)**
- (c) £8,279,240 being the amount by which the aggregate at 6(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 6(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 31A(4) of the Act, as its Council Tax Requirement for the year. **(Expenditure less income)**
- (d) £212.49 being the amount at 6(c) above, divided by the amount at 5(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year. **(The overall average amount of Council Tax per Band D property, including parish precepts)**
- (e) £920,840 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in section 34(1) of the Act. **(Total of parish precepts)**. For information, the amount in respect of each parish is as follows:

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Parish Precept</u>
Bestwood Village	20,000
Burton Joyce	228,518
Calverton	275,839
Colwick	29,500
Lambley	18,749
Linby	26,441
Newstead	22,710
Papplewick	22,287
Ravenshead	163,000
St Albans	28,834

Stoke Bardolph	2,500
Woodborough	82,462

- (f) £188.86 being the amount at 6(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 6(e) above by the amount at 5(a) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates. **(Gedling's own element of the Council Tax for a Band D dwelling).**

(g)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	£
Bestwood Village	217.32
Burton Joyce	337.41
Calverton	299.66
Colwick	221.91
Lambley	225.87
Linby	256.75
Newstead	249.43
Papplewick	271.36
Ravenshead	247.72
St Albans	219.24
Stoke Bardolph	195.27
Woodborough	277.10

being the amounts given by adding the amount at 6(f) above to the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area mentioned above, divided in each case by the amount at 5(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which one or more special items relate. **(The combined district and parish amount of Council Tax for a Band D dwelling)**

(h)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Valuation Bands</u>							
	A £	B £	C £	D £	E £	F £	G £	H £
Bestwood Village	144.88	169.03	193.18	217.32	265.61	313.91	362.20	434.64
Burton Joyce	224.94	262.43	299.92	337.41	412.39	487.37	562.35	674.82
Calverton	199.78	233.07	266.37	299.66	366.25	432.84	499.44	599.32
Colwick	147.94	172.60	197.26	221.91	271.22	320.54	369.85	443.82
Lambley	150.58	175.68	200.78	225.87	276.06	326.26	376.45	451.74
Linby	171.17	199.69	228.23	256.75	313.81	370.86	427.92	513.50
Newstead	166.29	194.00	221.72	249.43	304.86	360.29	415.72	498.86
Papplewick	180.91	211.06	241.21	271.36	331.66	391.97	452.27	542.72
Ravenshead	165.15	192.67	220.20	247.72	302.77	357.82	412.87	495.44
St Albans	146.16	170.52	194.88	219.24	267.96	316.68	365.40	438.48
Stoke Bardolph	130.18	151.88	173.58	195.27	238.66	282.06	325.45	390.54
Woodborough	184.74	215.52	246.32	277.10	338.68	400.26	461.84	554.20
All other parts of the Council's area	125.91	146.89	167.88	188.86	230.83	272.80	314.77	377.72

being the amounts given by multiplying the amounts at 6(f) and 6(g) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands. **(The combined district and parish amount of Council Tax for each band of dwelling).**

7. That it be noted that for the year 2024/25, Nottinghamshire County Council, the Office of the Nottinghamshire Police & Crime Commissioner and the Combined Fire & Rescue Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Precepting Authority:	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Notts County Council	1,204.72	1,405.51	1,606.29	1,807.08	2,208.65	2,610.23	3,011.80	3,614.16
Notts Police & Crime Commissioner	188.10	219.45	250.80	282.15	344.85	407.55	470.25	564.30
Combined Fire & Rescue Authority	61.47	71.72	81.96	92.21	112.70	133.19	153.68	184.42

8. That, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 6(h) and 7 above, the Council, in accordance with section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts of council tax for the year 2024/25 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below: (The total amount of Council Tax for each band of dwelling)

<u>Part of the Council's Area</u>	<u>Valuation Bands</u>							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
Bestwood Village	1,599.17	1,865.71	2,132.23	2,398.76	2,931.81	3,464.88	3,997.93	4,797.52
Burton Joyce	1,679.23	1,959.11	2,238.97	2,518.85	3,078.59	3,638.34	4,198.08	5,037.70
Calverton	1,654.07	1,929.75	2,205.42	2,481.10	3,032.45	3,583.81	4,135.17	4,962.20
Colwick	1,602.23	1,869.28	2,136.31	2,403.35	2,937.42	3,471.51	4,005.58	4,806.70
Lambley	1,604.87	1,872.36	2,139.83	2,407.31	2,942.26	3,477.23	4,012.18	4,814.62
Linby	1,625.46	1,896.37	2,167.28	2,438.19	2,980.01	3,521.83	4,063.65	4,876.38
Newstead	1,620.58	1,890.68	2,160.77	2,430.87	2,971.06	3,511.26	4,051.45	4,861.74
Papplewick	1,635.20	1,907.74	2,180.26	2,452.80	2,997.86	3,542.94	4,088.00	4,905.60
Ravenshead	1,619.44	1,889.35	2,159.25	2,429.16	2,968.97	3,508.79	4,048.60	4,858.32
St Albans	1,600.45	1,867.20	2,133.93	2,400.68	2,934.16	3,467.65	4,001.13	4,801.36
Stoke Bardolph	1,584.47	1,848.56	2,112.63	2,376.71	2,904.86	3,433.03	3,961.18	4,753.42
Woodborough	1,639.03	1,912.20	2,185.37	2,458.54	3,004.88	3,551.23	4,097.57	4,917.08
All other parts of the Council's area	1,580.20	1,843.57	2,106.93	2,370.30	2,897.03	3,423.77	3,950.50	4,740.60

REVIEW OF COUNCIL PROCEDURAL RULES

Consideration was given to a report of the Interim Corporate Director, seeking approval of the amended Council procedural rules.

RESOLVED:

The motion stands adjourned without discussion until the next ordinary meeting of Council.

The meeting finished at 9.17 pm

Signed by Chair:
Date: